Note

A Characterization of Best Simultaneous Approximations

SHINJI TANIMOTO

Department of Applied Mathematics, Kochi Joshi University, Kochi 780, Japan

Communicated by R. Bojanic

Received July 17, 1987

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X be a compact Hausdorff space and Y a normed linear space with norm $\|\cdot\|$. Let C(X, Y) denote the set of all continuous functions from X to Y. Suppose that functions $F_1, ..., F_l$ in C(X, Y) are given. We want to approximate these functions simultaneously by functions in S, an *n*-dimensional subspace of C(X, Y), in the sense of Chebyshev. That is, the problem is to find a function $f \in S$ which minimizes

$$\max_{1 \leq j \leq l} \max_{x \in X} \|F_j(x) - f(x)\| \tag{1}$$

over the set S. If such a function f^* in S exists, we call it a best simultaneous approximation for $F_1, ..., F_l$. The purpose of this note is to derive a necessary and sufficient condition for a function to be a best simultaneous approximation.

To this end, first remark that

$$\max_{1 \le j \le l} \|F_j(x) - f(x)\| = \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^l \alpha_j F_j(x) - f(x) \right\|,$$
(2)

where the set A is defined by

$$A = \left\{ a = (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_l) \; \middle| \; \sum_{j=1}^l \alpha_j = 1, \; \alpha_j \ge 0 \; (1 \le j \le l) \right\}.$$

This follows from the expression

$$\sum_{j=1}^{l} \alpha_j F_j(x) - f(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{l} \alpha_j (F_j(x) - f(x))$$

0021-9045/89 \$3.00

Copyright (C) 1989 by Academic Press, Inc. All rights of reproduction in any form reserved. and the inequalities

$$\max_{1 \leq j \leq l} \|y_j\| \leq \max_{\alpha \in A} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{l} \alpha_j y_j \right\|$$
$$\leq \max_{\alpha \in A} \sum_{j=1}^{l} \alpha_j \|y_j\| \leq \max_{1 \leq j \leq l} \|y_j\|,$$

where $y_1, ..., y_l$ are arbitrary elements of the normed linear space. Then (1) can be expressed as

$$\max_{a \in A} \max_{x \in X} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{l} \alpha_j F_j(x) - f(x) \right\|.$$

Furthermore, if we regard the set A as the set of *l*-dimensional row vectors, and denote by F(x) the column vector $(F_1(x), ..., F_l(x))^l$, $\sum_{j=1}^l \alpha_j F_j(x)$ can be denoted by the inner product aF(x) of two vectors a and F(x). Thus the problem takes on the expression

minimize
$$\max_{(a,x) \in A \times X} ||aF(x) - f(x)||$$
 over the set S. (3)

Note that the set $A \times X$ is compact.

2. Best Simultaneous Approximation

For $f \in C(X, Y)$, we define the uniform norm of f by

$$|||f||| = \max_{x \in X} ||f(x)||,$$

and endow the linear space C(X, Y) with the uniform topology. It is easily checked that ||aF(x) - f(x)|| is a jointly continuous function of the three variables a, x, f and convex in f, that is,

$$\|aF(x) - (\theta f + (1 - \theta)g)(x)\|$$

$$\leq \theta \|aF(x) - f(x)\| + (1 - \theta) \|aF(x) - g(x)\|$$

for all $f, g \in S$ and $\theta, 0 \le \theta \le 1$. We state the main result, which is an analog of Theorem 4.1 of [1] and also a generalization of it.

THEOREM. A function $f^* \in S$ is a best simultaneous approximation if and only if there exist $\lambda_1^*, ..., \lambda_k^* > 0$, $\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i^* = 1$, k distinct elements $x_1^*, ..., x_k^* \in X$, and k vectors $a_1^*, ..., a_k^* \in A$, where $1 \leq k \leq n+1$, such that

360

(i)
$$||a_i^*F(x_i^*) - f^*(x_i^*)|| = \max_{1 \le j \le l} ||F_j(x_i^*) - f^*(x_i^*)||$$

 $= \max_{1 \le j \le l} ||F_j - f^*|||, \quad i = 1, ..., k;$
(ii) $\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i^* ||a_i^*F(x_i^*) - f(x_i^*)|| \ge \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i^* ||a_i^*F(x_i^*) - f^*(x_i^*)||$

for all $f \in S$.

Proof. By the preceding remarks concerning the function ||aF(x) - f(x)||, we can apply Corollary 3.3 of [1] to problem (3). The rest of the proof is similar to that of Theorem 4.1 of [1]. The only difference is in the additional existence of k vectors $a_1^*, ..., a_k^* \in A$ and, in accordance with it, relation (2) is used in the derivation of the necessary condition (in particular, condition (i)).

Assume that the set X contains more than n points and S is an n-dimensional Haar subspace of C(X, Y) (see [1]). Then we conclude that k = n + 1, unless $F_1 = \cdots = F_l \in S$. It can be shown by the method employed in the proof of Theorem 5.1 of [1].

REFERENCE

1. S. TANIMOTO, Uniform approximation and a generalized minimax theorem, J. Approx. Theory 45 (1985), 1-10.